

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/628,878	HENG, ZHI	

Examiner	Art Unit	
UMAR CHEEMA	2444	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) UMAR CHEEMA. (3) _____.

(2) Joseph M. Lafata (Reg. No. 37,166). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 April 2009

Time: 9:30 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-6 and 8-12

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative Mr. Lafata, about potential amendment in order to allow the case with approval of SPE, William Vaughn. The representative agreed with Examiner's proposal and gave authorization for examiner's amendment via email containing examiner's proposed Amendment on 04/06/2009.